

DATE MAILED:

08/08/01

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET N
09/309,1	99 05/10/99	ASSENNACHER	M .923020n0
		HM12/0808	EXAMINER
GLADYS H MONROY MORRISON AND FOERSTER LLP 755 PAME MILL ROAD			VANDER WEST F
			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
PALO ALT	O CA 94304-10	18	1644 / Z

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

THE P	PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:	
a) 🔲 is	extended to run or continues to run from the date of the final rejection	
	xpires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no vent however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.	:
Ti p:	ny extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. he date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the urposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.	
Appel	llant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).	
	cant's response to the final rejection, filed has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed ice the application in condition for allowance:	
1. 🔲 Th	ne proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:	
a.	. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.	
b.	. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).	
c.	They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).	
d.	. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.	
0.	. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
		•
N	OTE:	
	lewly proposed or amendod claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling . ne non-allowable claims.	
	opon the filling an appeal, the proposed amendment 🔯 will be entered 🗆 will not be entered and the status of the claims will	
	e as follows: CHRISTINA Y. CHAN CHRISTINA Y. CHAN	
	laims allowed: SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	
	claims objected to: GROUP 1990 /6%	
	However;	
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	
	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because APPLICATE ARCUMENTS ARE BASED UPON REVIDENCE SET FARTH IN THE IDS WHICH WAS NOT CONSIDERED BECAUSE OF THE REASON BELOW, ARSENT SAID EVID.	
	he affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented.	Ai
☐ The pr	roposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.	:
(C) Other	IDS NOT CONSIDERED BECAUSE IT LACKS	
•	THE REQUIRED CEPTIFICATION AND	٠.
	PETITION FEE.	1 -